

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,773	11/11/2003	Shinichi Nakamura	9319H-000587	4378
27572 7590 01/25/2008		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
		٠	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	(A			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/705,773	NAKAMURA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher S. Kim	3752			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAIS not time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a	l. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 December 2007</u> .					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
,	on Papers	•				
	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🔲 -	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		(didit of 101111 1 10-132.			
	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	(2)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) 🔲 Notice	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Inform Paper	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 27, 2007 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Regarding claim 32, the preamble appears to recite a subcombination of an apparatus but the body of the claim contains limitations directed to a combination of an apparatus, a function liquid droplet ejection head and cap.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,773

Art Unit: 3752

The metes and bounds of the claim cannot be determined. For example, it is uncertain whether the function liquid droplet ejection head and cap are elements/limitations of the claimed apparatus or merely elements intended to be used with the apparatus. The preamble presents the liquid droplet ejection head and cap as elements intended to be used with the apparatus but the body of the claim recites functional and spatial relationship of the apparatus with the function liquid droplet ejection head and cap.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed November 27, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that claim 32 meets the definiteness requirement of 35. U.S.C. 112, second paragraph but provides no response to resolve the indefiniteness issues identified above. One of ordinary skill in the art cannot make a reasonable determination based on the claim language whether the claimed apparatus comprises the function liquid droplet ejection head and cap.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752